



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office.

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. **SERIAL NUMBER** FILING DATE 01/16/82 07/003,822 EXAMINER OBLON, FISHER, SPIVAK, MC CLELLAND TURNUPSEED, J AND MATER, CRYSTAL SQUARE FIVE: STE. 400 1755 SOUTH JEFF. DAVIS HWY. ARLINGTON: VA 22202 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 16 DATE MAILED: 01/26/90 This is a communication from the exponence is charge of your $\tau_{\rm s}$. Conforming the first off $\tau_{\rm s}$ _____ This action is made final. This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on ____ A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire_ _ month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. 3. 6. 🗆 **SUMMARY OF ACTION** 1 and 8-20 are pending in the application. are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claims __ 2. Claims have been cancelled. 3. Claims 1 and 18-20 5. Z Claims 6. Ctaims ____ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9.

The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____ _ . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ______ has (have) been approved by the examiner.

disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed on ___ ____, has been 🔲 approved. 🔲 disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 🗌 been received 🗍 not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _ ___; filed on . 13. 🔲 Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

. . . _ _

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Interference No. 102,077 has been dissolved with a decision favorable to applicants as to claims 1 and 8-20 which corresponds to the count.

Claims 1 and 8-20 remain in this case.

The indication of allowability of claims 1 and 18-20 are herein withdrawn in view of the newly found art and the rejection made herein below.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e) as being clearly anticipated by Domagala, et al. who disclose compounds reading directly onto those of the instant claims. Applicants' attention is

particularly directed to generic compound I, in column 2 and the specific compounds in column 31, lines 41-42 and column 37, lines 49-59.

Claims 8-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner J.H. Turnipseed whose telephone number is (703) 557-3327.

Any inquiry of a general nature, or relating to the status of this application, should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 557-3920.

9#7 01/17/90;rbb

PRIMARY EXAMINER